Mr. Gene Wilson, FOIA/PA coordinator
CIA
Washington, D.C. 20505

Rt. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701
3/24/77

Dear Mr. Wilson,

I have just been informed by an inquiry from a California that today's Los Angeles Times carries a story relating to two of my FOIA requests on which after all this time I have received nothing from you.

Moreover, I am led to believe from this call that the Times gives the impression that this information was released to Mark Lane, alone and exclusively.

So you will be under no misapprehension I regard Lane as the running dog of both the CIA and the FBI, regardless of what he attributes to both agencies in his appearances. If you are not paying him you are money ahead.

As you are aware there is no CIA record that in any way relates to the JFK and King assassinations that is not included in my requests now going back several years. (It has been some time since I paid the requested search fee on King but I have heard nothing since I sent the not inconsiderable sum of $500.)

This news story relates to Oswald intercepts. You should recall that in an effort to expedite your compliance I filed a separate request for intercepts. You then preferred not to establish this as a separate request and file on the ground that it was included in my earlier requests that you then and since have ignored. I then responded to you that I had made this separate request so you could process the fewer files more expeditiously.

Now I learn that you have given the one to who se serves CIA and other official interests what amounts to an exclusive. If this is not inconsistent with his being your running dog it is inconsistent with the Act and regulations and the fairness obligations imposed upon you.

This is quite hurtful to me. It is more hurtful because when I filed a narrower request for precisely this information you personally tried to talk me out of it and then, months ago, did not respond when I refused to be talked out of it.

Now, if this news account is accurate, you have given what I asked for to another who in my view serves Agency disinformation interests whether or not he is paid by you.

Under the Act and especially in view of the Agency's record of not yet complying with my requests, particularly one going back to 1971, I do regard this as a serious matter. I do hope that you also will and that you will promptly provide me with an explanation.

Sincerely

Harold Weisberg